## Frank H. Dotterweich College of Engineering **2025 Annual Engineering Student Design Conference**

## **Evaluation of Oral Presentation by Judges**

Project Title (see program):	
Judge: _	

	4-Excellent	3-Good	2-Satisfactory	1-Unsatisfactory
Score	<ul> <li>Addresses all specified content areas.</li> <li>Material abundantly supports the project.</li> <li>Use of engineering terms matches audience knowledge level.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Addresses most content areas.</li> <li>Material sufficiently supports the project.</li> <li>Use of engineering terms mostly matches audience knowledge level.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Addresses some of the content areas.</li> <li>Material minimally supports the project.</li> <li>Use of engineering terms minimally matches audience knowledge level.</li> </ul>	Addresses few of the content areas.     Material does not support the project.     Use of engineering terms does not match audience knowledge level.
Visuals  Score	<ul> <li>Text is easily readable.</li> <li>Graphics use constantly supports the presentation.</li> <li>Slide composition has a professional look that enhances the presentation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Text is readable.</li> <li>Graphics use mostly supports the presentation.</li> <li>Slide composition is not visually appealing, but does not detract from the presentation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Text is readable with effort.</li> <li>Graphics use rarely supports the presentation.</li> <li>Slide composition sometimes detracts from the presentation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Text is not readable.</li> <li>Graphics use does not support the presentation.</li> <li>Slide composition format is clearly distracting, obscuring the presentation.</li> </ul>
Presentation Skills Score	<ul> <li>Clearly audible and polished.</li> <li>Attitude indicates confidence and enthusiasm.</li> <li>Audience attention is constantly maintained.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clearly audible but not polished.</li> <li>Attitude indicates confidence but not enthusiasm.</li> <li>Audience attention is mostly maintained.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Difficult to hear and/or moments of awkwardness.</li> <li>Attitude indicates some lack of confidence and/or disinterest in project.</li> <li>Audience attention is minimally maintained.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Inaudible; several awkward pauses.</li> <li>Attitude indicates lack of confidence and/or disinterest in project.</li> <li>Audience attention is not maintained.</li> </ul>
Organization Score	Information presented in logical and interesting sequence that the audience can easily follow.	Information presented in a logical sequence that the audience can follow.	Information not always presented in logical sequence; audience has difficulty following presentation.	Information not presented in logical sequence; audience cannot understand presentation.
Handling of Questions  Score	Demonstrates full knowledge of the project; can explain and elaborate on expected questions.	Demonstrates sufficient knowledge of the project to answer expected questions.	Has difficulty answering expected questions beyond a rudimentary level.	Is unable to answer expected questions.
Total Score		1	1	Judges QR CODE for Entering Scores

Max. = 20



Revised 04-06-2024

## **Evaluation of Technical Design by Judges**

	4-Excellent	3-Good	2-Satisfactory	1-Unsatisfactory
Statement Score	<ul> <li>The problem has been stated and shown with additional supporting factual evidence.</li> </ul>	A problem statement has been stated.	The problem statement has weak support.	Problem has not been stated clearly and lacks any supporting evidence.
Project Deliverables Score	<ul> <li>Expected project deliverables and specific outputs are clearly presented.</li> </ul>	Expectations have been stated.	Some expectations have been stated.	Expectations are not clear.
Performance Requirements Score	A set of measurable performance requirements has been created.	Some performance requirements may not be measurable.	Most performance requirements are not measurable.	Performance requirements are not measurable.
Project Completion Score	All major points of the project were completed.	Most major project points were accomplished.	Few of the major project points were accomplished.	None of the major project points were accomplished.
Technical Level of the Project  Score	<ul> <li>A significant portion of this project involves technical information new to the students and required substantial research.</li> </ul>	Several technical aspects were new to the students and required research.	The project contains some research but mostly involves technical information taught at the junior and senior levels.	This project did not challenge the students to perform much research, as it relied mainly on information taught within the curriculum.
Total Score		•	•	Judges QR CODE

Total Score

Max. = 20



Revised 04-06-2024