Evaluation of Computer Science Senior Design Project Report by Faculty

Project Title:

	4-Excellent	3-Good	2-Satisfactory	1-Unsatisfactory
Score	 All major design decisions and design rationale clearly identified and discussed. Alternative designs identified and clearly explained. 	 Most major design decisions described along with some supporting rationale. Some description of alternative designs included. 	 Some design decisions enumerated and briefly discussed. Minimal discussion of alternative designs. 	 Little or no description of major design decisions. No discussion of alternative design considerations.
Score	 Prototype implementation and system limitations clearly and completely described. Documentation of all software components in the project are thoroughly presented. 	 Most major components of prototype and system limitations clearly described. Documentation for most major software components are provided. 	 Prototype implementation and system limitations partially described. Documentation for some major software components are provided. 	 Little or no description of prototype implementation or system limitations. Inadequate or no documentation provided for software components.
Score	 Project report has no misspelled words. The report is well organized. Sentences are complete and grammatically correct. 	 Project report has one or two misspelled words. The report is organized. For the most part, sentences are complete and grammatically correct. 	 Project report has few misspelled words. The report is not well organized and is confusing. In a few places, sentences are incomplete with grammatical errors. 	 Project report has many misspelled words. The report is not well organized and is disjointed. There are many errors in sentence structure and grammar.
Figures, Tables, and Equations Score	Figures, tables, and equations in the project report are accurate, consistent with the text, and are of very good quality.	In most cases, figures, tables, and equations in the project report are accurate, consistent with the text, and of good quality.	 In few cases, figures, tables, and equations in the project report are accurate, consistent with the text, and of average quality. 	Figures, tables, and equations in the project report do not accurately refer to the text, and are of poor quality.
References Score	References are complete and formatted consistently.	With some minor exceptions, references are complete but is not formatted consistently.	References are not complete.	No references or most references that are included are inaccurate.
		•		CS Faculty QR CODE
Total Score				for Entering Scores
Max. = 20				

Revised 04-06-2024